

Turkish Journal of Field Crops

field-crops.org

DOI: 10.17557/tjfc.1649968 ISSN: 1301-1111 e-ISSN: 3023-6657 2025, 30(1), 76-87

# Effects of Irrigation Level, Plant Density and Nitrogen Doses on Grain Yield and Yield Parameters of Sweet Sorghum (Sorghum bicolor L. Moench var. saccharatum)

Güngör KÖSTERELİ 1\* 🔟 , İlhan TURGUT 2 🔟

<sup>1</sup> Product Owner, Bayer Crop Science, 16280, Bursa, Turkey

<sup>2</sup> Department of Field Crops, Faculty of Agriculture, Bursa Uludağ University, 16110, Bursa, Turkey

Corresponding author: gkostereli@gmail.com

**ARTICLE INFO** 

## A B S T R A C T

**Research Article** Experiments were carried out at Bursa Uludağ University Faculty of Agriculture Application and Research Centre in 2022 and 2023 summer Received: 3 March 2025 seasons to evaluate the effects of irrigation level, plant density and Accepted: 1 May 2025 nitrogen dose on grain yield and yield parameters of sweet sorghum Published: XX June 2025 [Sorghum bicolor (L.) Moench var. saccharatum]. Each field trail Keywords: included thirty-two treatments represent the combinations among four Density irrigation levels, two planting densities and four nitrogen fertilization Irrigation level doses. A split-split plot design with three replications was used in both Nitrogen seasons, where the four deficit irrigation levels (0%, 33%, 66% and 100% of crop evapotranspiration (ETc)) were arranged in the main plots, Sweet sorghum while two planting densities (142857 and 285714 plants ha<sup>-1</sup>) were randomly allocated in the sub plots and the four nitrogen doses (0, 70, 140 and 210 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) were randomly distributed in the sub-sub plots. The results showed that the three factors and their interactions on grain yield Citation: Kostereli, G., & Turgut, I. (2025). and yield components were significant. Grain yield increased with Effects of irrigation level, plant density and increasing irrigation level, plant density, nitrogen doses and their nitrogen doses on grain yield and yield interactions in both years. In the study, maximum grain yield was parameters of sweet sorghum (Sorghum bicolor obtained with all N doses at I<sub>3</sub> in 2022, while it was obtained with N<sub>3</sub> in L. Moench var. saccharatum). Turkish Journal I1, I2 and I3 in 2023. Grain yield increased with increasing plant density ofField Crops, 30(1), 76-87. in 2022, it did not interact with nitrogen doses, but they interacted in https://doi.org/10.17557/tjfc.1649968 2023 and the highest grain yield was determined at N3 interacting with P2. In the plant density and irrigation level interactions, the maximum grain yield was obtained from the interaction of D2 and I3 in the rainy year 2022, while it was obtained from the interaction of D2 and I2 in the dry year 2023.

## **1. INTRODUCTION**

Today, it is known that global climate change has a profound effect on plant production. In addition to climate change, factors such as decreased soil fertility, temperature fluctuations, unseasonal rainfall, and increased CO<sub>2</sub> concentration are the most critical threats to open-air factory agriculture (Cai at al. 2011). Sweet Sorghum, one of the C4 crops, has adapted to a wide range of climate and soil conditions. It is a short-term crop that matures earlier at higher temperatures. It is known not only as a "high-energy crop" due to its high photosynthesis rate but also as the "camel among crops" due to its drought resistance. It can be grown in soils ranging from heavy clay to light sand. It responds effectively to available growth factors such as water stress, planting density and nitrogen fertilization. (Taha et al. 1999).

Sorghum is an important source of food and fodder, especially in rainfed regions, and its resilience to harsh environmental conditions makes it the fifth most widely grown cereal worldwide after wheat, rice, maize and barley (FAOSTAT, 2023; Aydinsakir et al. 2021). Grain sorghum, which is grown as a main or second crop in the Mediterranean region of Turkey, stands out for its resistance to stress conditions such as high temperature, drought, salinity and waterlogging, while studies in Adana show that it requires less fertilizer and is more tolerant to environmental stresses compared to maize (İnal et al. 2020). Thanks to its strong root system, sorghum can yield even under arid and saline conditions (Tari et al. 2013) and offers higher yield and quality with appropriate fertilization and irrigation practices (Islam et al. 2012; Khelil et al. 2013). Furthermore, agronomic factors such as plant density and irrigation management play a decisive role in yield, and it has been reported that grain yield can be significantly increased by increasing plant density (Selim, 1995; Wang et al. 2024; Schatz et al. 1990).

Yield and quality of plants are affected by environmental factors as well as genetic traits. In order to reduce the effect of environmental factors, it is necessary to determine the appropriate plant density, irrigation level and nitrogen dose of sorghum varieties as genetic material suitable for different soil structures and ecological conditions. Many studies have been carried out to investigate the responses of sorghum to different irrigation and nitrogen doses and plant density on yield and yield components. On the other hand, the number of studies on the effects of these three factors on sorghum yield and yield components is limited.

This study investigated the effects of plant densities, irrigation levels and nitrogen doses on grain yield and yield parameters of sweet sorghum.

# 2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

#### Experiment design and location

Experiments were conducted at Bursa Uludağ University Faculty of Agriculture Application and Research Centre with the geographical location of 40° 13' 47.22" N, 28° 51' 25.22" W and elevation of 100 m above mean sea level during summer seasons in both 2022 and 2023 to study the effect of deficit irrigation, planting density and nitrogen fertilization on yield and some yield parameters of sweet sorghum (*Sorghum bicolor* (L.) Moench var. *saccharatum*). Each field trail included thirty-two treatments represent the combinations among four irrigation levels, two planting densities and four nitrogen fertilization doses. Field experiments were conducted in a randomized split-split block design with three replications in both seasons, where the four irrigation levels were arranged in the main plots, while plant populations were randomly allocated in the sub plots and the four nitrogen doses were randomly distributed in the sub-sub plots. Main plots were set as 11.20 m x 11.00 m, sub plots as 11.20 m x 5.00 m rows and sub-sub plots as 2.80 m x 5.00 m rows. Gulseker, a sweet sorghum variety developed at Bursa Uludağ University Faculty of Agriculture, Department of Field Crops, was used as a genetic material, four irrigation levels (% Etc) (I): 0% (I<sub>0</sub>), 33% (I<sub>1</sub>), 66% (I<sub>2</sub>) and 100% (I<sub>3</sub>) of crop evapotranspiration (ETc), four nitrogen doses (kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) (N): 0 (N<sub>0</sub>), 70 (N<sub>1</sub>), 140 (N<sub>2</sub>) and 210 (N<sub>3</sub>) and two plant populations (plants ha<sup>-1</sup>) (D): 142857 (D<sub>1</sub>) and 285714 (D<sub>2</sub>) plants ha<sup>-1</sup> (sowing spacing; 0.10 x 0.70 m and 0.05 x 0.70 m (Turgut et al. 2005). In this context, it was decided to investigate the above two plant densities.

#### Soil and climate characteristics of the trial sites

Soil physical and chemical characteristics are shown in Table 1.

2022		2023				
Properties (mg kg <sup>-1</sup> )	Quantities	Properties (mg kg <sup>-1</sup> )	Quantities			
Texture	Clay	Texture	Clay			
pH	7.61	pH	6.63			
EC (mS cm <sup>-1</sup> )	0.041	EC (mS cm <sup><math>-1</math></sup> )	0.035			
Lime (% CaCO <sub>3</sub> )	4.79	Lime (% CaCO3)	1.58			
Organic matter (%)	2.66	Organic matter (%)	1.66			
Total nitrogen (N) (%)	0.13	Total nitrogen (N) (%)	0.083			
Available phosphorus (P) (mg kg $^{-1}$ )	6.7	Available phosphorus (P) (mg kg <sup>-1</sup> )	3.31			
Potassium (K)	178.09	Potassium (K)	64.82			

Table 1. Soil properties of experimental area

Soil samples were collected before sowing through the experimental site to determine in both years. As seen in Table 1, the soil structure of Bursa Uludağ University Agricultural Research and Application Center, where the experiment was established, is rich in lime and clay values, and has a heavy texture (Katkat et al. 1985). There is no salinity problem. Na<sup>+</sup> 0.26 me  $100g^{-1}$ , K<sup>+</sup> 0.92 me  $100g^{-1}$ , Mg<sup>++</sup> 10.20 me  $100g^{-1}$ , Ca<sup>++</sup> 30.42 me  $100g^{-1}$  (Aksoy et al. 2001). It is rich in potassium and phosphorus, and poor in organic matter content.

Table 2.	Climatic	data	in	the	growing season	
I abit 2.	Cinnatic	uata	111	unc	growing season	

	Average Temperature (°C)			Av	erage Hur	nidity (%)	Monthly Precipitation (mm)			
Months	2022	2023	Long Term Average (1995-2023)	2022	2023	Long Term Average (1995-2023)	2022	2023	Long Term Average	
June	22.3	24.3	22.2	71.3	63.0	70.1	129.2	0.0	56.7	
July	24.0	26.1	24.7	61.5	5.8	63.5	2.1	0.0	12.6	
August	25.3	27.1	25.2	69.6	60.4	64.5	40.3	0.0	15.4	
September	20.7	22.6	21.5	65.2	62.9	66.5	39.2	0.0	36.7	
October	15.8	18.2	16.1	71.3	70.5	74.4	11.7	7.3	52.2	
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	222.5	7.3	173.6	
Average	21.6	23.7	21.9	67.8	52.5	67.8	-	-	-	

In the first year (2022), a total precipitation of 222.5 mm was determined during the sweet sorghum vegetation period (June-October). In 2023, a total of 7.3 mm precipitation occurred. More precipitation occurred in the first year of the experiment compared to the second year. Among the trial years, it was observed that the temperature value was warmer in 2023 than in 2022. When the rainfall amounts of the trial years are compared, it is seen that a significant amount of rainfall was received in 2022, while 2023 was a drier year.

#### Cultural practices

Sowing was done by pneumatic planter as  $0.05 \ge 0.70$  m in the third week of June in both years.  $P_2O_5$  of 150 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> was applied on 5cm rows side by pneumatic planter with planting in the all-experimental area. The trial area was hoed by hand twice to remove weeds and aerate the soil. Planting densities were achieved as follows; 285714 (D<sub>2</sub>) plants ha<sup>-1</sup>, resulting from 0.05 x 0.70 m planting type and 142857 (D<sub>1</sub>) plants ha<sup>-1</sup>, were thinned to be 0.10 x 0.70 m planting type. Nitrogen doses were applied by hand as urea (46% N) and ammonium sulfate (21% N) in two equal doses on 10 cm the rows side and covered; after thinning (20 days after planting) and 35 days later. Four different irrigation levels were applied by drip irrigation according to experiments were carried out in dry conditions. Irrigation treatments investigated are provided in Table 3.

Crop evapotranspiration (ETc, mm) was calculated according to:

#### $ETc = E_0 \times Kc (1)$

where  $E_0$  is the evaporation of standard Class-A pan and Kc is the crop coefficient as suggested by Doorenbos and Pruitt (1977). The Kc values used during the growing season were those proposed in FAO-56 (Allen et al. 1998) for sweet sorghum (0.30 for the initial stage, 0.30–1.20 for the crop development stage, 1.20 for the mid-season stage, and 1.05 for the late-season stage of crop development). According to the results of the water analysis, the quality of the irrigation water used in the research was determined as  $C_2S_1$ . At the beginning of field trial, sprinkler irrigation method was used for germinating and emerging seeds. Afterward, the calculated amount of irrigation water was applied at weekly intervals, and the water was used to the plots via a drip irrigation system. The laterals were placed 0.1 m next to the plant rows. The dripper lines had inline compensating emitter pressure, and the discharge rate of the emitters was 1.6 L ha<sup>-1</sup> at an operating pressure of 1 bar. The emitter spacing was chosen as 0.30 m based on the soil characteristics.

Table 3. Irrigation treatments

Treatments Description	
I <sub>3</sub>	100% ETc restoration during the whole growing season
I <sub>2</sub>	66% ETc restoration during the whole growing season
Iı	33% ETc restoration during the whole growing season
Io	0% ETc restoration during the whole growing season

Harvest was done by hand in first week on November in both years.

#### Data collection

Each plot contained four rows; each row 5.00 m long. Data were collected from the two center rows in four rows for every parcels.

During the physiological ripening period (136 days after sowing) in harvest, the following traits were determined:

Grain weight per bunch (g bunch<sup>-1</sup>); the bunches obtained from each plant were weighed, the grain weight in the collected bunch (g bunch<sup>-1</sup>) was weighed and determined by converting it to 14% moisture.

Thousand kernel weight (g 1000 grains<sup>-1</sup>); 4 randomly counted 100 grains of grains obtained from the clusters of each matured plant in each plot were weighed and calculated by proportion (g 1000 grains<sup>-1</sup>) and converted to 14% moisture.

Grain yield of sweet sorghum (kg ha<sup>-1</sup>); the grains obtained from the clusters of each mature plant in each plot were weighed to determine the grain weight in the plot (g plot<sup>-1</sup>) and converted to 14% moisture. The yield per hectare (kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) was calculated taking into account the plot area.

#### Statistical analysis

The data from the trials were statistically analyzed according to the split-plot experimental design with 3 replications in randomized blocks. The effects of irrigations, plant populations, nitrogen doses and their interactions were evaluated using F-test at 0.05 and 0.01 probability levels. F-protected least significant difference (LSD) was calculated according to Steel and Torrie (1980) at 0.05 probability level. Analyses of variance (ANOVA) for all data were analyzed with JMP 9.0.2 software to determine the significance of treatments and their interactions.

## **3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

The results of the variance analysis of the data we obtained in our study conducted in 2022 and 2023 are given in Table 4. The ANOVA results show the effects of three main factors: irrigation levels, plant densities and nitrogen doses were significantly different at 1% probability level on grain weight per panicle in both seasons. Also, the effects of three interactions which were irrigation levels × planting densities, irrigation levels × nitrogen doses, and irrigation levels × planting densities  $\times$  nitrogen doses were significantly different at 1% probability level on grain weight per panicle in 2022 and 2023. The effects of plant densities  $\times$  nitrogen doses interactions were not significantly different in 2022 but significantly different at 1% probability level on grain weight per panicle in 2023. The effects of three main factors which were irrigation levels, plant densities, nitrogen doses and effects of four interactions which were irrigation levels  $\times$  planting densities, introgen doses, plant densities  $\times$  nitrogen doses and irrigation levels  $\times$  planting densities, irrigation levels, plant densities, nitrogen doses, and effects of four interactions which were irrigation levels  $\times$  planting densities  $\times$  nitrogen doses, plant densities  $\times$  nitrogen doses and irrigation levels  $\times$  planting densities, irrigation levels  $\times$  planting doses, plant densities, nitrogen doses, plant densities  $\times$  nitrogen doses and irrigation levels  $\times$  planting densities, irrigation levels  $\times$  nitrogen doses, plant densities  $\times$  nitrogen doses and irrigation levels  $\times$  planting densities  $\times$  nitrogen doses were significantly different at 1% probability level on 1000 grain weight in both of season. According to the results, the effects of two main factors, irrigation levels and plant densities levels, were significantly

different at 1% probability level on grain yield of sweet sorghum in both seasons. However, effects of nitrogen doses were significantly different at 5% probability level in 2022 and they were significantly different at 1% probability level in 2023.

Year	Source of Variation	df -	Mean Square						
rear	Source of variation	ai –	Grain weight of bunch	Thousand kernel weight	Grain Yield				
2022	Blocks	2	6.282 <sup>ns</sup>	0.007 <sup>ns</sup>	4673.660 <sup>ns</sup>				
	Ι	3	1629.630**	33.795**	775879.000**				
	D	1	3106.510**	6.678**	7334329.000**				
	Ν	3	75.169**	3.772**	14784.300*				
	I×D	3	166.680**	17.552**	123610.000**				
	I×N	9	75.210**	4.017**	30632.380**				
	D×N	3	1.498 <sup>ns</sup>	6.556**	6768.380 <sup>ns</sup>				
	I×D×N	9	90.223**	2.683**	53622.500**				
	Error	48	4.471	0.463	4.144.000				
	CV (%)		4	2	6				
	Blocks	2	6.455 <sup>ns</sup>	0.194 <sup>ns</sup>	1073.580 <sup>ns</sup>				
	Ι	3	4447.150**	50.183**	2415015.000**				
	D	1	258.070**	0.631 <sup>ns</sup>	8152148.000**				
	Ν	3	381.668**	3.360**	169383.000**				
0000	I×D	3	3	3	3	3	312.336**	4.963**	471353.000**
2023	I×N	9	195.067**	8.118**	103670.000**				
	D×N	3	433.649**	3.025**	182469.000**				
	I×D×N	9	47.192**	5.222**	61449.500**				
	Error	48	7.088	0.470	2.754.000				
	CV (%)		6	2	5				
D, N	: Irrigation Level; Plant I	Density	Nitrogen Dose respectively						
, **: s	significant difference at p	robabil	ity level of 5% and 1% respe	ctively.					

Table 4. The res	sults of the v	ariance anal	vsis for	both vears
	and or the	anitee and		oom jeme

#### *Grain weight per panicle (g)*

Graphs of average values for irrigation levels, plant densities and nitrogen doses in the 1st and 2nd years of the study are shown in Fig.1 and Fig.2. From these figures, it is seen that the grain weight per panicle increases significantly as the irrigation levels increase in 2022. In contrast, there is a significant increase in the applications irrigated according to I<sub>0</sub> in 2023, but there is no significant difference between the I<sub>1</sub>, I<sub>2</sub> and I<sub>3</sub> applications. Alderfasi et al, (2016); and Salem, (2015) stated that the increase in irrigation level led to an increase in grain weight per panicle and their results were similar to our study. In both years of the study, the grain weight per panicle decreased significantly with the increase in plant density. Many studies have reported that increasing plant density decreased grain weight per panicle (Zhou et al, 2022; Sahu et al, 2018; Alderfasi et al, 2016; Salem, 2015; Zand et al, 2014; Thakur et al, 2009). In the study, the grain weight per panicle generally increased in parallel with the increase in nitrogen doses, but there was an exception in 2022 with a decrease in N<sub>2</sub> and in 2023 with no significant increase in N<sub>1</sub> dose compared to N<sub>0</sub> (Fig.1 and Fig.2). Salem, (2015); Amiri et al, (2014); Thakur et al, (2009) reported that increasing nitrogen dose increased grain weight per panicle.




Figure 1. Effect of irrigation levels, planting densities and nitrogen doses on grain weight(g) per panicle in 2022




Figure 2. Effect of irrigation levels, planting densities and nitrogen doses on grain weight(g) per panicle in 2023

Table 5 shows the pairwise interactions of irrigation levels, plant densities and nitrogen doses for grain weight per panicle. The irrigation level × nitrogen dose interaction, which was significant in both years, revealed that the grain weight per panicle increased as the irrigation level increased at each nitrogen dose. However, the highest grain weight per panicle was determined in 2022 at I2 and I3 irrigation levels at N1 nitrogen dose and I3 irrigation level at N3 nitrogen dose, while the highest values in 2023 were obtained from I2 and I3 irrigation levels at N2 nitrogen dose and from I2 irrigation level at N<sub>3</sub> nitrogen dose. Salem (2015) revealed in his study that increasing nitrogen dose with increasing irrigation level increased grain yield per panicle. The significance of the planting density  $\times$  nitrogen dose interaction was not exhibited a stable attitude over the years. According to this interaction, which was significant in 2023, the highest grain weight per panicle was obtained from the  $D_1$  plant density at the  $N_2$  nitrogen dose, while there was no significant difference between the plant densities at the N<sub>3</sub> nitrogen dose. Salem, (2015); Turgut et al, (2005) found that increasing nitrogen dose at low plant density increased grain weight per panicle and obtained similar results with our study. The Irrigation level × planting density interaction, which was significant in 2022, revealed that the grain weight per panicle increased with increasing irrigation levels from Io to I<sub>3</sub> at the D<sub>1</sub> planting density, but increased up to the I<sub>2</sub> irrigation level at the D<sub>2</sub> planting density and did not change at the I<sub>3</sub> irrigation level. In 2023, the highest grain weight per panicle was obtained from I<sub>3</sub> irrigation level at D<sub>1</sub> planting density, while it was determined from I<sub>1</sub> irrigation level at D<sub>2</sub> planting density (Table 5). Alderfasi et al, (2016); Salem, (2015) found that increasing irrigation level at low plant density increased grain weight per panicle.

				Irrigation level ×	Nitrogen do	ose interaction	n				
2022						2023					
	No	Nı	N <sub>2</sub>	N3		No	N1	N <sub>2</sub>	N3		
Io	46.45 f	40.62 <sup>h</sup>	43.32 g	44.38 f g	Io	25.45 <sup>h</sup>	26.50 <sup>h</sup>	21.10 <sup>i</sup>	32.50 g		
Iı	51.18 °	53.83 d	58.25 bc	60.37 ь	$I_1$	54.25°	52.57 ° d	50.40 <sup>d</sup> <sup>e</sup>	57.95 <sup>b</sup>		
$I_2$	56.70°	65.05 a	56.23 ° d	60.00 ь	I2	48.08 °	41.32 f	60.18 <sup>a b</sup>	61.78 ª		
I3	59.37 <sup>b</sup>	65.78 ª	60.02 ь	64.60 a	Iз	49.40 °	50.90 <sup>d</sup> e	62.17 ª	54.08°		
				Planting density ×	Nitrogen d	ose interactio	n				
2022					2023						
	No	Nı	N <sub>2</sub>	N3		No	N1	N <sub>2</sub>	N3		
$D_1$	59.34	61.99	59.80	63.16	D1	46.45°	39.78 °	55.60 ª	51.89 <sup>b</sup>		
$D_2$	47.51	50.65	49.11	51.52	$D_2$	42.14 <sup>d</sup>	45.87°	41.33 <sup>d</sup> e	51.27 ь		
				Planting density ×	Irrigation le	evel interaction	on				
2022				Č.	2023						
	Io	Iı	I2	I3		Io	Iı	I2	I3		
$D_1$	47.99 <sup>d</sup>	63.27 ь	62.21 ь	70.83 ª	$D_1$	28.65 °	51.07 <sup>cd</sup>	53.84 bc	60.16 ª		
$D_2$	39.39 °	48.55 d	56.78°	54.06°	$D_2$	24.13°	56.52 <sup>b</sup>	51.84°	48.12 <sup>d</sup>		

Table 5. Effects of I×N, D×N and D×I interactions on grain weight per panicle (g)

#### 1000 grain weight (g)

Two-year average values of 1000 grain weight are given in Fig. 3 and Fig. 4. Differences were observed between the averages obtained due to differences in the climate data of the two years. While 222.5 mm of precipitation was received in the 2022 trial season, 7.3 mm of precipitation was received in the 2023 trial season. For this reason, when the 1000 grain weight averages were compared, it was determined that 1000 grain weight increased proportionally as irrigation levels increased in 2022. While the highest 1000 grain weight was obtained from the I<sub>3</sub> irrigation level, a significant increase was detected between the I<sub>0</sub> irrigation level and other irrigation levels in 2023. Also, the highest 1000 grain weight was obtained from the I<sub>1</sub>, I<sub>2</sub> and I<sub>3</sub> irrigation levels. The results obtained in other studies also revealed that increasing irrigation level increased 1000 grain weight (Alderfasi et al, 2016; Abadi et al, 2014). The effects of plant densities on 1000 grain weight were found to be significant in 2022 and the highest average was obtained at low plant

density D<sub>1</sub>, but the effects of plant densities on 1000 grain weight were not found to be significant in 2023. Zhou et al, (2022); Sahu et al, (2018); Alderfasi et al, (2016); Soleymani et al, (2011) found that increasing plant density decreased 1000 grain weight. In our study, it is thought that the fact that the year 2023 was both arid and hotter caused no difference between the effects of plant densities. The study showed a significant effect on nitrogen doses per 1000 grain weight in both years. However, N<sub>0</sub>, N<sub>1</sub> and N<sub>2</sub> nitrogen doses were in the same group and gave the highest 1000 grain weight in 2023 (Fig.3 and Fig.4). Many other studies revealed that increasing nitrogen dose increased 1000 grain weight (Zhou et al, 2022; Shahrajabian et al, (2021); Abadi et al, (2014); Amiri et al, 2014; Zand et al, (2014); Soleymani et al, 2011). In our research, it is thought that the soil characteristics of the experimental site in 2022 biased the effects of nitrogen doses on 1000 grain weight.




Figure 3. Effect of irrigation levels, planting densities and nitrogen doses on 1000 grain weight (g) in 2022




Figure 4. Effect of irrigation levels, planting densities and nitrogen doses on 1000 grain weight (g) in 2023

Binary interactions of irrigation levels, plant density and nitrogen doses on 1000 grain weight are shown in Table 6. Irrigation levels × nitrogen doses interactions were found to be significant in both years, and it was determined that as irrigation levels increased at each nitrogen dose, 1000 grain weight increased. The highest 1000 grain weight in 2022 was obtained from the interaction of I<sub>2</sub> irrigation level and N<sub>0</sub> nitrogen dose and the interaction of I<sub>3</sub> irrigation level and N<sub>0</sub>, N<sub>1</sub>, N<sub>2</sub>, N<sub>3</sub> irrigation levels. In the 2023 season with a dry climate, the highest 1000 grain weight was determined by the interactions of I<sub>1</sub> irrigation level and N<sub>1</sub>, N<sub>3</sub> nitrogen doses, the interactions of I<sub>2</sub> irrigation level and N<sub>2</sub>, N<sub>3</sub> nitrogen dose, and the interactions of I<sub>3</sub> irrigation level and N<sub>0</sub>, N<sub>1</sub>, N<sub>2</sub> nitrogen doses. The effects of plant density × nitrogen dose interactions on 1000 grain weight was detected despite the increasing plant density at other nitrogen doses except N<sub>0</sub> nitrogen dose in both years, but in 2022, N<sub>0</sub> nitrogen dose interacted with D<sub>1</sub> plant density due to the N<sub>0</sub> nitrogen dose interaction and each obtained from N<sub>1</sub>, N<sub>2</sub>, N<sub>3</sub> nitrogen dose interactions at two plant densities. Zand et al, (2014); Soleymani et al, (2011) found that 1000 grain weight increased with nicreasing nitrogen dose at low plant density. The effects of plant density × irrigation level interactions on 1000 grain weight increased from I<sub>0</sub> to I<sub>3</sub> nitrogen dose interactions at two plant densities. In the N<sub>0</sub> nitrogen dose interactions at two plant density is nitrogen dose.

1000 grain weight was determined in  $D_1$  and  $D_2$  plant densities at  $I_3$  irrigation level. In the dry season of 2023, irrigation level and plant densities interacted bidirectionally. Plant densities increased 1000 grain weight from  $I_0$  to  $I_1$  when irrigation applications started. Still, there was no significant increase between  $I_1$ ,  $I_2$  and  $I_3$  irrigation levels, and the interactions of increasing plant density with  $I_1$ ,  $I_2$ ,  $I_3$  irrigation levels increased 1000 grain weight except  $I_0$  irrigation level. The highest 1000 grain weight was determined in the interactions of  $D_2$  plant density and  $I_1$ ,  $I_2$ ,  $I_3$  irrigation level in 2023. In another study, it was determined that increasing the irrigation level at low plant density will increase 1000 grain weight (Alderfasi et al, 2016).

			Irriga	tion level × Nitr	ogen do	se interacti	on			
	2022					2023				
	No	$N_1$	$N_2$	N3		No	Nı	N <sub>2</sub>	N3	
Io	29.64°	28.80 <sup>d</sup> <sup>e</sup>	30.18°	28.20 °	Io	27.36 °	27.86 <sup>cd</sup>	27.36 <sup>d</sup>	27.86 <sup>cd</sup>	
$I_1$	29.45 <sup>cd</sup>	30.05°	30.06°	30.13°	Iı	29.39 в	30.87 ª	28.60 bc	30.84 ª	
$I_2$	31.46 ab	31.45 ь	30.06°	28.78 <sup>d e</sup>	$I_2$	29.19 в	28.89 ь	31.09 a	30.97 ª	
I3	31.50 ab	32.24 ª	32.17 ab	32.10 ab	I3	30.92 ª	30.86 ª	30.78 ª	28.77 в	
			Planti	ng density × Nit	rogen do	ose interact	ion			
		202	22			2023				
	No	Nı	N <sub>2</sub>	N3		No	Nı	N2	N3	
$D_1$	31.53 ª	30.82 b	30.47 <sup>b</sup>	29.80°	Dı	28.25 в	29.64 ª	29.71 ª	29.59 ª	
$D_2$	29.49°	30.45 b	30.77 <sup>ь</sup>	29.80°	$D_2$	29.41 ª	29.61 ª	29.20 ª	29.63 ª	
			Plantir	ng density × Irrig	gation le	vel interact	tion			
2022						2023				
	Io	Iı	$I_2$	I <sub>3</sub>		Io	Iı	I2	I <sub>3</sub>	
$D_1$	28.86 °	31.45 ь	30.49°	31.83 ab	Dı	27.80°	29.64 ь	29.88 <sup>b</sup>	29.87 ь	
$D_2$	29.55 d	28.40 °	30.38°	32.17 ª	$D_2$	26.65 d	30.21 ab	30.18 ab	30.80 ª	

Table 6. Effects of I×N, D×N and D×I interactions on 1000 grain weight (g)

#### Grain Yield of Sweet Sorghum (kg ha<sup>-1</sup>)

The effects of four different nitrogen doses at four different irrigation levels with different plant densities on grain yield in sweet sorghum are shown in Figures 5 and 6. According to the figures, with the increasing irrigation level in 2022, grain yield increased significantly and the highest grain yield was obtained from I3 irrigation level. On the other hand, there was a significant increase in applications irrigated according to Io, and the highest grain yield was obtained from I<sub>1</sub> irrigation level in 2023. Many researchers have found that grain yield increases with increasing irrigation level (Wang et al, 2024; Alderfasi et al, 2016; Salem, (2015); Abadi et al, (2014). Additionally, grain yield increased significantly as the plant density increased in both years of the study. Zhou et al, (2022); Sahu et al, (2018); Alderfasi et al, (2016); Salem, (2015); Soleymani et al, (2011); Thakur et al, (2009); Turgut et al, (2005) determined that increasing plant density increases grain yield. On the other hand, Shahrajabian et al. (2021) reported that grain yield decreased as plant density increased, but the minimum plant density applied in the study was 250000 plants ha<sup>-1</sup>, which is equivalent to the maximum plant density in our study. In the study, grain yield increased significantly as the applied nitrogen doses increased in both years, and the highest grain yield was obtained from N3 nitrogen dose application (Figure 7 and Figure 8). Many studies supported our results and indicated that increasing nitrogen dose increased grain yield (Zhou et al, 2022; Salem, 2015; Abadi et al, 2014; Amiri et al, 2014; Thakur et al, 2009). In addition, Shahrajabian et al, (2021) determined that increasing nitrogen dose up to 160 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> increased grain yield, while Turgut et al, (2005) determined that increasing nitrogen dose up to 150 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> increased grain yield. On the other hand, Soleymani et al, (2011) concluded that grain yield decreased as nitrogen dose increased.




Figure 5. Effect of irrigation levels, planting densities and nitrogen doses on grain yield (kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) in 2022




Figure 6. Effect of irrigation levels, planting densities and nitrogen doses on grain yield (kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) in 2023

The effects of the pairwise interactions of irrigation levels, plant densities and nitrogen doses on grain yield are given in Table 7. The irrigation level × nitrogen dose interaction, which was important in both years, showed that grain yield increased as the irrigation level increased at each nitrogen dose. However, while the highest grain yield was obtained with I<sub>3</sub> irrigation level at all nitrogen doses in 2022, the highest grain yield in 2023 was determined at N<sub>3</sub> nitrogen dose at I<sub>1</sub>, I<sub>2</sub> and I<sub>3</sub> irrigation levels. Wang et al, (2024) reported that grain yield decreased with increasing nitrogen dose at low irrigation level, but grain yield increased with increasing nitrogen dose at normal irrigation level. In another study, Salem (2015) stated that increasing the nitrogen dose with increasing irrigation level increased grain yield. When the average yields of plant density  $\times$  nitrogen dose interactions were evaluated, although the increase in plant density made a significant increase on grain yield at all nitrogen doses in both years, plant density  $\times$  nitrogen dose interactions did not show a significant effect on grain yield in 2022, while as the nitrogen dose increased at high plant density in 2023, grain yield also increased and the highest grain yield was detected in the interaction of  $D_2$  plant density and  $N_3$  nitrogen dose. In different studies, it was observed that increasing nitrogen doses at high plant density increased grain yield (Salem, 2015; Zand et al, 2014; Turgut et al, 2005). On the other hand, Shahrajabian et al, (2021) revealed that increasing nitrogen doses at low plant density increased grain yield, but the lowest plant density in their study was equivalent to the highest plant density in our study. When the grain yield averages of plant density × irrigation level interactions were compared, a two-way increase in grain yield was detected in both years of the study. While high D2 plant density increased grain yield at every irrigation level, it was determined that grain yield increased as irrigation level increased in both plant densities. While the highest grain yield in 2022 was obtained from the interaction of D<sub>2</sub> plant density and I<sub>3</sub> irrigation level, in 2023 it was obtained from the interaction of  $D_2$  plant density and  $I_1$  irrigation level. Alderfasi et al, (2016); Salem, (2015) reported that increasing nitrogen doses at high plant density increased grain yield.

			Irrigati	on level×Nitrog	gen dose	e interaction				
	2022					2023				
	No	Nı	N <sub>2</sub>	N3		No	N1	N <sub>2</sub>	N3	
Io	9912 <sup>h</sup>	8148 j	8815 <sup>ij</sup>	9348 <sup>hi</sup>	Io	5864 <sup>i</sup>	5905 i	4114 <sup>j</sup>	5316 <sup>i</sup>	
Iı	9967 <sup>h</sup>	11288 fg	11019 g	12270 de	Iı	12515 bc	11734 <sup>d</sup>	10595 ° f	13141 ª	
$I_2$	12001 ef	12770 bcd	11707 efg	12424 cde	$I_2$	9897 <sup>g</sup>	9113 <sup>h</sup>	12516 bc	13483 a	
I3	12974 abed	133378 ab	13661 ª	13061 abc	Iз	$10186 \ {\rm fg}$	10820 °	12276°d	13104 <sup>ab</sup>	
			Plantin	g density×Nitro	gen dos	e interaction	1			
		2022	2	-		2023				
	No	N1	N <sub>2</sub>	N3		No	N1	N <sub>2</sub>	N3	
$D_1$	8343	8856	8543	8876	D1	7187 °	5682 f	7942 d	7678 d	
$D_2$	14083	13915	14058	14675	$D_2$	12044°	13104 ь	11809°	14845 ª	
			Planting	g density×Irriga	tion lev	el interactio	n			
		2022	2			2023				
	Io	Iı	I2	I3		Io	Iı	I2	I3	
$D_1$	6856 <sup>g</sup>	9039 f	8740 f	9984 °	Dı	4366 f	7839 <sup>d</sup>	7691 d	8593°	
$D_2$	11255 d	13233°	15711 ь	16532 ª	$D_2$	6234 °	16154 ª	14813 ь	14600 ь	

Table 7. Effects of I×N, D×N and D×I interactions on grain yield (kg/ha)

## **4. CONCLUSION**

The effects of irrigation levels, plant density and nitrogen doses on grain yield and yield components of sweet sorghum, a highly adaptable C4 crop that matures early under high temperatures under changing climatic conditions were investigated. The results revealed that as the irrigation level increased from 0% to 100% of crop evapotranspiration (ETc). grain weight per panicle, 1000 grain weight and grain yield also increased. As the plant density increased from 142857 plants ha<sup>-1</sup> to 285714 plants ha<sup>-1</sup>, grain weight per panicle and 1000 grain weight decreased, while grain yield increased significantly. As the nitrogen dose increased from 0 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> to 210 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>, grain weight per panicle, 1000 grain weight and grain yield increased in both trial years. In the study, maximum grain yield was obtained with 0 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>, 70 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>, 140 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> and 210 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> nitrogen doses at 100% of crop evapotranspiration (ETc) irrigation level in 2022 with rainy climatic conditions, while it was obtained with 210 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> nitrogen dose in irrigated treatments (33%, 66% and 100% of crop evapotranspiration (ETc)) in 2023 with arid climatic conditions. When the plant density and nitrogen dose interactions were compared, although grain yield increased with increasing plant density in 2022, it did not interact with nitrogen doses, but plant density and nitrogen doses interacted in 2023 and the highest grain yield was determined at 210 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> nitrogen dose interacting with 285714 plants ha<sup>-1</sup> plant density. In the plant density and irrigation level interactions, the maximum grain yield was obtained from the interaction of 285714 plants ha<sup>-1</sup> plant density and 100% of crop evapotranspiration (ETc) irrigation level in the rainy year 2022, while it was obtained from the interaction of 285714 plants ha<sup>-1</sup> plant density and 33% of crop evapotranspiration (ETc) irrigation level in the dry year 2023. According to the results obtained from our study, a plant density of 285714 plants ha<sup>-1</sup>, N dose of 210 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> and 100% irrigation level are recommended to obtain high grain yield in semi-humid climatic conditions for sweet sorghum production. The climatic conditions of both study years were different, with significant differences in rainfall and temperatures. In 2022, the small difference between the effects of nitrogen doses is thought to be due to the fact that the rainfall washed away the applied nitrogen and reduced the effect of nitrogen. In 2023, when there was almost no rainfall and the air temperature was about 2 °C warmer, it was observed that some plants in the experimental area did not fill the grains. Therefore, the responses of some traits to the treatments differed. The research should be carried out in different locations to further support the literature and the most appropriate treatments under different climatic conditions.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

First of all, I would like to thank my esteemed Professors, Prof. Dr. Ilhan TURGUT, Prof. Dr. Abdurrahim Tanju GÖKSOY and Prof. Dr. Hayrettin KUSÇU, who designed this study and supported us at every point, Uludağ University, Faculty of Agriculture, Department of Field Crops, and my family and friends who were with me at every stage of the study.

### REFERENCES

- Abadi, M.H.S., Mojadam, M. & Nejad, T.S. (2014). The effect of drought stress and different levels of nitrogen on yield and yield components of sorghum. International Journal of Biosciences, 4(3), 206-212. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.12692/ijb/4.3.206-212</u>
- Aksoy, E., Dirim, M.S., Tumsavas, Z., Ozsoy, G. (2001). Occurrence, important physical, chemical properties and classification of soils of Uludag University campus area. Uludag University Research Fund Project, 98/32, 118.
- Alderfasi, A. A., Selim, M.M., & Alhammad, B.A. (2016). Evaluation of plant densities and various irrigation regimes of sorghum (Sorghum bicolor L.) under low water supply. Journal of Water Resource and Protection, 2016, 8, 1-11 Published Online January 2016 in SciRes. http://www.scirp.org/journal/jwarp <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.4236/jwarp.2016.81001</u>
- Allen R.G., Pereira, L.S., Raes, D., & Smith, M. (1998). Crop evapotranspiration: guidelines for computing crop water requirements. United Nations FAO, Irrigation and Drainage, N.Y., Paper No. 56
- Amiri, M., Mojaddam, M. & Shokouhfar, A. (2014). The effect of nitrogen management on the yield and yield components of grain sorghum *(Sorghum bicolor L. Moench)*. International Journal of Biosciences 4(1), 272-278.
- Aydinsakir K., Buyuktas, D., Dinc, N., Erdurmus, C., Bayram, E., & Yegin, A.B. (2021). Yield and bioethanol productivity of sorghum under surface and subsurface drip irrigation. Agricultural Water Management 243(1-13):106452. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agwat.2020.106452</u>
- Cai, X.M., Zhang, X., & Wang, D.B. (2011). Land availability for biofuel production. Environmental Science and Technology 45(1):334-9. <u>https://doi.org/10.1021/es103338e</u>
- Doorenbos J., & Pruitt, W.D. (1977). Guidelines for predicting crop water requirements. Irrigation and Drainage Paper No. 24. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations, Rome
- FAOSTAT. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations. (2023). Available online: http://www.fao.org/faostat/en/#data/QC (Accessed 26 July 2023).
- Inal, I., Yucel, C., Yucel, D. & Hatipoglu, R. (2020). Nutritive value and fodder potential of different sweet sorghum genotypes under mediterranean conditions. Turkish Journal of Field Crops 26(1), 1-7 <u>https://doi.org/10.17557/tjfc.943445</u>
- Islam, M.R., Garcia, S.C., & Horadagoda, A. (2012). Effects of irrigation and rates and timing of nitrogen fertilizer on dry matter yield, proportions of plant fractions of maize and nutritive value and in vitro gas production characteristics of whole crop maize silage. Animal Feed Science and Technology 172(s 3–4):125–135 <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.anifeedsci.2011.11.013</u>
- Khelil, M.N., Rejeb, S., Henchi, B., & Destain, J.P. (2013). Effects of irrigation water quality and nitrogen rate on the recovery of 15N fertilizer by sorghum in field study. Communications In Soil Science and Plant Analysis 44(18) <u>https://doi.org/10.1080/00103624.2013.813032</u>
- Sahu, H., Tomar, G., & Nandeha, N. (2018). Effect of planting density and levels of nitrogen on yield and yield attributes of sweet sorghum *(Sorghum bicolor* [L.] Moench) varieties. International Journal of Chemical Studies 2018; 6(1): 2098-2101.
- Salem, E.M.M. (2015). Response of grain sorghum (Sorghum bicolor, L. Moench) to irrigation, nitrogen and plant density under new valley conditions, Egypt. Egyptian Journal of Agricultural Research, 65(1), 11-3.
- Schatz, B.G., Schneiter, A.A., & Gardner, J.E. (1990). Effect of plant density on grain sorghum production in North Dakota. North Dakota Farm Research: 47(5): 15-17.
- Selim, M.M. (1995). Evaluation of some grain sorghum genotypes grown under different plant densities and levels of nitrogen fertilization. Egyptian Journal of Agronomy, 20, 83-97.
- Shahrajabian, M.H., Khoshkharam, M., Sun, W. & Cheng, Q. (2021). The influence of increased plant densities and nitrogen fertilizer levels on forage yield, seed yield and qualitative characteristics of forage sorghum *(Sorghum bicolor L. Moench)*. Thai Journal of Agricultural Science (2020) 53(4), 201–217.
- Soleymani, A., Shahrajabian, M. H., & Naranjani, L. (2011). The effect of plant density and nitrogen fertilization on yield, yield components and grain protein of grain sorghum. Journal of Food, Agriculture & Environment Vol.9 (3&4): 244-246.
- Steel, R. G. D., & Torrie, J. H. (1980). Principles and procedures of statistics, a biometrical approach (No. Ed. 2). McGraw-Hill Kogakusha, Ltd.
- Taha, N.E., Laila, M., Saif, M., Abd El-Latef, F.A. & Ali, M.K. (1999). Response of sweet sorghum to irrigation intervals and nitrogen fertilization. Assiut Journal of Agricultural Science, 30(3): 65-80.
- Thakur, N.S., Kushwaha, B.B., Sinha, N.K. & Upadhya, S.N. (2009). Effect of plant density and nitrogen levels on growth, yield attributes and yields of sweet sorghum *licolor* (L.) Moench) genotypes. Indian Journal of Dryland Agricultural Research and Development 24(1): 34:38.
- Turgut, I., Bilgili, U., Duman, A. & Acikgoz, E. (2005). Production of sweet sorghum *(Sorghum bicolor L. Moench)* increases with increased plant densities and nitrogen fertilizer levels. Acta Agriculturae Scandinavica Section B-Soil and Plant, 55:3, 236-240, <u>https://doi.org/10.1080/09064710510028051</u>

- Wang, Z., Nie, T., Lu, D., Zhang, P., Li, J., Li, F., Zhang, Z., Chen, P., Jiang, L., & Dai, C., Waller, P. M. (2024). Effects of different irrigation management and nitrogen rate on sorghum (*Sorghum bicolor L.*) growth, yield and soil nitrogen accumulation with drip irrigation. Agronomy 2024, 14, 215. <u>https://doi.org/10.3390/agronomy14010215</u>
- Zand, N., Shakiba, M.R., Moghaddam-Vahed., M., & Dabbagh-Mohammadai-nasab, A. (2014). Response of sorghum to nitrogen fertilizer at different plant densities. International Journal of Farming and Allied Sciences Journal-2014-3-1/71-74/31.
- Zhou, Y., Huang, J., Li, Z., Wu, Y., Zhang, J., & Zhang, Y. (2022). Yield and quality in main and ratoon crops of grain sorghum under different nitrogen rates and planting densities. Frontiers in Plant Science 12:778663. <u>https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2021.778663</u>