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ABSTRACT

Knowing the proper sowing and harvesting periods in plants cultivated as roughage resource is very important for achieving high yield and quality performance. However, studies on sowing and harvesting times in quinoa grown for hay production are almost non-existent. In this study, the effects of different sowing (middle of March, end of March, beginning of April and middle of April) and harvesting (the end of vegetative stage, beginning of the flowering and the full flowering) periods on herbage yield and quality performance of quinoa’s Mint Vanilla variety were investigated. Research was conducted under irrigated conditions of Igdir during 2017-2018. The experimental design was split plot design with three replications. According to statistical analysis, higher plant height, dry matter and crude protein yields were obtained from plants sown at the end of March and harvested at full flowering. The highest crude protein ratio, dry matter digestible and relative feed value with the lowest neutral detergent fibre and acid detergent fibre ratios were observed in plants sown in the late period and harvested at the early period. As a result, crude protein and digestibility values were generally increased while dry matter and crude protein yields were decreased with late sowing and harvesting.
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INTRODUCTION

Quinoa (Chenopodium quinoa Willd.), a member of Amaranthaceae family, is a highly nutritious food product that has been cultivated for its seeds in South America for over 7000 years (Cusack, 1984; Pearsall, 1992). Quinoa can be easily grown under unfavorable climate and soil conditions where many cultivars cannot be economically produced (Jacobsen et al., 2003; Sanchez et al., 2003; Bhargava et al., 2006; González et al., 2009). Moreover, the fact that quinoa seeds have higher protein content than many cereal groups (Martinez, 2015) has led to an increase in interest in the plant (Krivonos, 2013; Bazile et al., 2015). Undoubtedly, the choice of variety for as well as knowledge of the appropriate sowing and harvesting periods are of great importance for a profitable production in cultivation, in terms of achieving high yield and quality performances from the unit area. Quinoa is generally cultivated for its seeds which are utilized as human food. Thus, a great majority of agronomic studies conducted on the plant includes evaluations on seed performance (Geren et al., 2014; Kir and Temel, 2016; Kir and Temel, 2017; Tan and Temel, 2017a; Tan and Temel, 2018; Casini, 2019). In the studies carried out, it was revealed that seed performances were significantly affected by sowing and harvesting periods and, as a consequence, sowing or harvesting should be carried out at an early or late period (Risi and Galwey, 1991; Aguilar and Jacobsen, 2003; Munir, 2011; Geren et al., 2014).

Although quinoa is cultivated for its seeds, it is also grown for its hay as a source of fodder (Galwey, 1989; Jacobsen and Stolen, 1993; Sigsgaard et al., 2008; Bertero and Ruiz, 2010; Iqbal, 2015). The plant can produce high herbage yields per unit area (15665-17180 kg ha⁻¹) (Tan and Temel, 2017b; Temel and Surgun, 2019) and quinoa hay is especially preferred by cattle (FAO, 1994). Moreover, crude protein content of quinoa hay is between 13% and 22% and dry matter digestibility is between 63% and 69%, which are both desired levels (Van Schooten and Pinxterhuis, 2003). Because of such properties, quinoa can be viewed to have a great potential for benefiting from the production in marginal areas, overcoming the roughage gap and supplying the daily nutritional requirements of animals. However, in order to obtain the desired yield and quality performances in the quinoa plant grown for hay production purposes, it is necessary to establish appropriate sowing and harvesting periods according to ecological conditions of the region where it will be grown.
The quantity and quality of the hay obtained from the plants grown for the purpose of fodder production is directly related to the sowing and harvesting times (Buxton, 1996; Geren and Alan, 2012). Although variations can be seen as to the ecological conditions of the region of cultivation, in general, increases in hay yields and decreases in nutritional values have been determined with the progress of development period in plants (Temel and Tan, 2002; Gulsen et al., 2004). Moreover, in early sowing, plants stay in the field for a longer time, compared to late-sown plants, and benefit from the environmental conditions in an optimum way. As a consequence, yields may be high while the quality values are low. However, studies on which the sowing and harvesting times were tested together in the quinoa plant grown for hay production purposes were almost non-existent in the world and in Turkey. In fact, it was reported in a few studies conducted on the subject that quinoa hay yield and quality were significantly affected by sowing and harvesting periods (Hirich et al., 2014; Rames, 2016; Uke, 2016).

Although it has been shown that sowing and harvesting periods of quinoa differ according to the regions, it can be said that few studies conducted on the subject are inadequate, since sowing and harvesting periods may significantly vary as to ecological conditions of the cultivation regions. In addition, to what degree yield and quality properties of quinoa cultivated for hay production purposes affected by different sowing and harvesting periods must be completely cleared out. In this respect, such studies on quinoa are important to determine optimum yield and quality performance under different ecological conditions and to extend quinoa farming. Thus, a research study was planned to determine appropriate sowing and harvesting periods in quinoa cultivated under irrigated conditions of Igdır province for achieving high hay yield and quality properties.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

The study was conducted between 2017 and 2018 under irrigated conditions in Igdır province located in the Northeast of Turkey. When some climatic data of the experimental area were examined, average temperature values of the growing season of 2017 and 2018 were measured as 18.2°C and 19.5°C, relative humidity 47.9% and 52.8%, total rainfall amounts were 100.0 mm and 141.7 mm, respectively. According to long-years average, average temperature, relative humidity and total precipitation amount are measured as 18.41°C, 48.6% and 166.4 mm, respectively (Table 1) (Anonymous, 2019). According to these data, the experiment was conducted under relatively more arid conditions since lower precipitation was observed according to long-years averages in the cultivation period within which the research conducted. Adequate amounts of soil samples (0-30 cm) were taken in both research years and according to the results of the analyses, it was found that soils were found to be non-saline, slightly alkaline, with mild lime content, low available phosphorus level and high potassium content. However, the experiment site in 2017 had clay-loam soil structure with a good organic matter content, while 2018 research site was classified as clay soil with medium-level organic matter content (Table 2) (Kacar, 2012).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1. Some climatic characteristics of the research area *</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Aylar</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>March</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>April</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>June</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>July</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total/Mean</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*MGMT, 2019; **LYA: Long Year Average

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 2. Some chemical and physical properties of the study area soils</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Years</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Mint Vanilla variety of quinoa (Chenopodium quinoa Willd.), which was determined to have the highest hay yield as a result of a project by TUBİTAK (The Scientific and Technological Research Council of Turkey), was used as material in the study. Four different sowing dates were tested in the research and 10-day intervals between sowings were carefully observed. Hence, the first sowings (ST₁) were conducted on March 15, the second sowings (ST₂) on March 25, the third sowings (ST₃) on April 5 and the fourth sowings (ST₄) were conducted on April 15 of the first trial year. In the second trial year (2018), ST₁, ST₂, ST₃ and ST₄ were conducted on March 16, March 27, April 7 and April 19, respectively. In addition, seed bed temperatures (at 0-10 cm depth) were measured and recorded. Soil temperatures according to trial years were recorded as 6-8°C at ST₁, 9-11°C at ST₂, 15-16°C at ST₃, 18-20°C at ST₄.
and as 16-18 °C at ST₄. Harvesting was carried out at the end of vegetative period (CS₁), at the beginning of the flowering (CS₂) and at the full flowering period (CS₃) to determine the effect of plant development periods on the quantity and quality of the obtained hay. In this respect, the first harvest was carried out at the period during when first panicles were seen on plants, the second harvest was carried out when the buds on panicles began to flower and the third harvest was done when the flowering on panicles was completed to a large extent.

The research was designed according to a split plot in randomized block design with three replicates. Sowing times were established on the main plots and harvesting times were placed on the subplots. Area of each subplot in the research was set as 7.35 m² (3 m x 2.45 m) and a 2-meter space was left between all plots and blocks. Hole sowing method was used for sowing. Seeds were sown into furrows opened by a marker into mellowed soil at 1.5-2.0 sowing depth with 35 cm row spacing and 15 cm intra-row spacing (plant-to-plant distance). The soil was fertilized with 75 kg of pure N (21 % ammonium sulphate) and 80 kg pure P₂O₅ (39-41 % triple super phosphate) per ha during the preparation of seedbeds. Moreover, an additional 50 kg of pure N per ha area was also applied when plant height reached 30-40 cm (Geren, 2015). Soil humidity was measured by Soil Water Potential device and the plants were irrigated when 50% of field capacity was depleted. Sufficient amount of water was given to the plants by drip irrigation until the field regain capacity. Weeds formed between plots and blocks were controlled by pulling and hoeing and this process was repeated three times during the growth of the plants. In addition, insecticide was applied to insects seen at 2-4-leaf period.

At the time of harvest, the rows on the sides and 0.5 m parts from the heads of the plots were taken and the measurements were carried out in the remaining area. Plant heights were determined by measuring the distance between the root collar and the top of 10 plants selected randomly from each plot. Plants in the harvest area were cut at 7.5 cm stubble height and fresh weights were measured. Then, 1000 g representative fresh hay samples were taken and dried at a drying oven set at 70 °C until the weights of samples are stabilized. After this, measured dry matter weights were proportioned by fresh hay yields to obtain dry matter yields. Determination of total nitrogen contents in ground hay samples was carried out according to Micro Kjeldahl method and nitrogen ratios then multiplied by the quotient 6.25 to determine crude protein ratios (AOAC, 1997). Crude protein yields, dry matter yields and crude protein ratios of the plants were determined by multiplying. Neutral detergent fibre (NDF) and acid detergent fibre (ADF) ratios were determined by the method developed by Van Soest et al. (1991). Dry matter digestibility (DMD) and relative feed values (RFV) of the fodder samples were determined by equations suggested by Sheaffer et al. (1995) (DMD% = 88.9 – [0.779 x ADF%]), and RFV = [DMD x DMI] / 1.29. DMI in the RFV formula was calculated by the equation “Dry Matter Consumption % = 120 / NDF%”.

Data from the research were subjected to variance analysis according to split plots in randomized block design repeated two years by using JMP 5.1 statistical software package and comparison of the means that were found significant was conducted according to LSD (0.05) test.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Data presentation and discussion were only carried out for interactions found to be significant. That is; binary interactions were not elaborated separately in the parameters in which triple interactions were found significant, and main factors were not considered separately in the parameters in which binary interactions were significant.

Plant height

In this study in which different sowing and harvesting times were tested in quinoa plant, the effect of year x sowing time interaction on the plant height was found significant (P ≤ 0.01). The highest plant height (126.1 cm) was observed in the first sowing (ST₁) in 2017 while the lowest value (51.3 cm) was determined in the last sowing (ST₄) in 2017 (Figure 1). In this respect, Hirich et al. (2014) stated report that increases in temperature shorten the development time of quinoa. Thus, in late sowing, plants may reach harvesting maturity without adequate vegetative development since plants are exposed to increasing temperatures and light intensity. As a result, plant heights in late sowings can be shorter. Particularly in 2017, while the rate of decrease in plant height (57.98%) was quite high from the second sowing to the last sowing time. The fact that the rate of decrease was very low in 2018 (3.52%) may be a cause of the significance of year x sowing time interaction. It is considered that changing annual temperatures, rainfall amount and distribution were effective on the differences in plant heights as to sowing times (Table 1). Hence, Geren et al. (2014) and Ramesh (2016) have showed that environmental factors such as temperature and precipitation played an important role in plant height. Moreover, it was also reported in studies on quinoa that plant height varied according to years depending on changing climate conditions and that plant height was decreased with delays in sowing time (Fernando et al., 2012; Ramesh, 2016; Uke, 2016).

![Figure 1](image-url)  
**Figure 1.** The change in plant height according to sowing times and years. Plots followed by the different letters are significant at P ≤ 0.01. ST: Sowing time
Dry matter yield

Dry matter yield was found to be significantly affected by year x sowing time x harvesting period interaction and the highest yield of 22267 kg ha\(^{-1}\) was obtained from plots sown in March 25 (ST\(_2\)) in 2017 and harvested at full flowering period (CS\(_3\)). On the other hand, the lowest dry matter yield was obtained from the plots sown in the late period (April 15) and harvested at the end of vegetative period with 5328 kg ha\(^{-1}\) (Table 3). Looking at Table 3, while dry matter yield in the second harvest of the sowings at the end of March in 2017 increased by 20.00%, it can be seen that there is a 2.62% decrease in the same group in 2018. Moreover, the fact that dry matter yield increases of the third harvest of plants sown in the second period (ST\(_2\)) are higher in 2017 (31.33%) than in 2018 (3.50%) resulted in the significance of the triple interaction. This may be a result of different levels of influence of sowing and harvesting times on such plant development phases as germination, seedling, vegetative and generative periods due to annual changes in climate and soil conditions. It was also reported in previous studies that higher dry matter yields were obtained in quinoa sown late and harvested in the early period (Hirich et al., 2014; Ramesh, 2016; Uke, 2016). As a matter of fact, since vegetation period was longer in early sowings and late harvests, available resources such as water, light and nutritional elements can be used more effectively by the plants. As a result, the ratio of structural carbohydrates increases, new tissues are formed and significant increases in hay yields may be observed (Temel and Tan, 2002). On the other hand, optimum seed germination in quinoa occurs when soil temperature is 8-10 \(^\circ\)C (Jacobsen et al., 1999). This affects number of plants in harvest, and, thus, the yield (Geren et al., 2014). In our present study, it was seen that the most suitable soil temperature for germination was measured in March 25 sowings.

Table 3. The dry matter yield and relative feed value of quinoa in different sowing time and cutting stages

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Years</th>
<th>Sowing times</th>
<th>Dry matter yield (kg ha(^{-1}))</th>
<th>Relative feed value</th>
<th>Cutting stages</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>CS(_1)</td>
<td>CS(_2)</td>
<td>CS(_3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017</td>
<td>ST(_1)</td>
<td>9320 k-m</td>
<td>12710 gh</td>
<td>16955 c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ST(_2)</td>
<td>14207 d-g</td>
<td>15253 d</td>
<td>22267 a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ST(_3)</td>
<td>8496 lm</td>
<td>12284 ht</td>
<td>13437 d-h</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ST(_4)</td>
<td>5328 n</td>
<td>8056 m</td>
<td>9327 lm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>ST(_1)</td>
<td>13291 f-h</td>
<td>15067 de</td>
<td>18153 bc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ST(_2)</td>
<td>10183 jk</td>
<td>14672 d-f</td>
<td>18789 b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ST(_3)</td>
<td>8614 k-m</td>
<td>10980 ij</td>
<td>13743 e-h</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ST(_4)</td>
<td>8095 m</td>
<td>9632 j-m</td>
<td>9894 j-l</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>LSD(_{0.05})</td>
<td>Y x ST x CS: 1641*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Y x ST x CS: 20.4*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*: significant at P ≤ 0.05. Means followed by the same letters are not different for P ≤ 0.05 according to LSD test. Y: Year; ST: Sowing time; CS: Cutting stage

Crude protein ratio

Effects of year x harvesting time and year x sowing time interactions on crude protein ratio of quinoa have shown statistically significant differences (P ≤ 0.01). As for year x harvesting time interaction, the highest crude protein ratio (22.28%) was observed in the plots harvested at the end of vegetative period in 2018, but the lowest ratio (17.37%) was observed in the harvest at the full flowering period in the same year (Figure 2). This may be a result of increased vegetative growth in plants due to the fact that the amount of precipitation especially in May and June in 2018 was considerably higher than in the same months of 2017 (Table 1). As a matter of fact, Buxton (1996) stated that the ratio of leaves in plants was higher in early development periods and therefore the crude protein content was higher. While the decrease in crude protein content in the second harvesting time in comparison with the first harvesting time in 2017 was quite low (4.49%), the fact that the drop in 2018 was greater (18.18%) may be a reason for the significance of year x harvest time interaction. In general, the number of young cells in the early development of plants is more intense, which increases protein synthesis (Kacar et al., 2006). However, the ratio of extracellular structural carbohydrates (cellulose and lignin) increases due to the decrease in leaf / stem ratio in advanced development periods and crude protein content decreases as plant development advances (Kutlu, 2008; Gokkus, 2009). Hence, it was reported that the quinoa leaves are rich in protein and its stems are poor in protein (Buxton, 1996). In addition, in another study on quinoa, it was reported that the rate of crude protein was decreased significantly as the harvesting time was delayed and the lowest value (11.7%) was obtained during the seed bulking stage (Uke, 2016).
Year x sowing time interaction was another significant factor affecting crude protein ratio and the highest crude protein ratios were obtained from the plants sown in April 15, 2017 and March 25, 2018 with 21.16% and 20.54%, respectively. The lowest crude protein ratio was determined in the plants sown in March 15, 2017 (17.00%) (Figure 2). While there were continuous increases in crude protein content in 2017 as the sowings were delayed, it was first increased, then decreased and then increased again in 2018. In addition, while crude protein ratio was increased by 6.59% in ST3 in 2017, it was decreased by 11.25% in 2018. This is resulted in the significance of year x sowing time interaction. Such changes in crude protein content may be caused by differences in plant heights according to years and sowing times. In general, plants tend to come to the cutting maturity without showing sufficient growth (vegetative growth) due to increased air temperatures in late sowings. This may have caused the plant height to be low in late sowings and thus the leaf/stem ratio to be higher than early sowings. Hence, in 2017, the highest plant height (126.1 cm) was determined at the first sowing time and the lowest plant height was determined at the fourth sowing time (51.3 cm) (Figure 1). As known, the increase in plant height increases the ratio of stems rich in structural carbohydrates such as cellulose and lignin. Increasing the stem/leaf ratio, on the other hand, decreases the rate of non-structural carbohydrates such as protein (Buxton, 1996).

**Crude protein yield**

All of the binary interactions were found to be statistically significant for crude protein yield. In year x sowing time interaction, the highest crude protein yields were recorded in ST3 of 2017 (3107.7 kg ha⁻¹) with ST1 (2891.6 kg ha⁻¹) and ST2 of 2018 (2926.9 kg ha⁻¹). The lowest crude protein yield was observed in ST4 of 2017 with 1526.8 kg per hectare (Figure 3). While crude protein yield increased by 44.04% in ST3 of 2017 in comparison with ST1 of the same year, it was increased by 1.22% in 2018, which resulted in the significance of year x sowing time interaction. Differences in dry matter yields and crude protein ratios as to sowing times may be caused by the crude protein yield to be low or high. Because crude protein yield is a product of crude protein ratio and dry matter yield values. In the present study, it was seen that highest dry matter yields were obtained in the second sowings of 2017 (Table 3). Therefore, crude protein yields may be high. In the studies carried out with different forage crop species, it was reported that the crude protein yields decreased significantly due to the low dry matter yields obtained from the unit area in late sowings (Temel and Tan, 2002).

Another significant interaction for crude protein yield is year x harvesting period. The highest crude protein yields were obtained at the last harvesting periods of the both trial years while the lowest value was obtained from the harvest carried out at the end of the vegetative period (CS1) in 2017 (Figure 3). The fact that crude protein yield at CS1 in 2018 (2228.2 kg ha⁻¹) was greater than that of CS1 in 2017 (1839.1 kg ha⁻¹) and that crude protein yields in other periods showing differences were the reasons for the significance of the year x harvesting time interaction. This may be resulting from dry matter yield and, particularly, crude protein ratio of CS1 of 2018 being greater than those in 2017 (Figure 2). Because crude protein ratio is one of the parameters used in calculating crude protein yield. Generally, in late harvest periods, although the crude protein content decreases, dry matter yields obtained from the unit area are generally higher (Temel and Tan, 2002). In our current study, the high yields of dry matter in the late harvest period may have caused this.

Sowing time x harvesting time interaction was found to be significant for crude protein yield at 1% significance level in the study. According to this, the highest crude protein yield was obtained from the plots which were sown in the second period (March 25) and harvested during the full flowering period. The lowest crude protein yield was determined from the plots harvested on April 15 (ST4) and harvested at the end of the vegetative period (CS1) (Figure 3). When Figure 3 was examined, it can be seen that percentage changes in crude protein yield according to sowing times in the first and second harvest periods were generally found to be low and similar. However, the fact that this change was much higher in the third harvest period of the second sowing caused the interaction of sowing time x harvest time to be significant. In general, since dry matter yields obtained from the unit area was generally high in plants sown early and harvested late, the crude protein yields were also high (Temel and Tan, 2002).
Tan, 2002). In the present study, high yields of dry matter in the plots cultivated in the second period and harvested in the last period may have caused this difference.

**Figure 3.** The effect of Y x ST, Y x CS and ST x CS interaction on the crude protein yield. ** and * plots followed by the different letters are significant at P ≤ 0.01 and P ≤ 0.05, respectively. Y: Year, ST: Sowing time, CS: Cutting stage

Neutral detergent fibre

Year x sowing time interaction was found to be significant for neutral detergent fibre (NDF) content at 1% significance level. The highest NDF ratio was determined in the plants sown on March 15, 2017 and the lowest ratio was in the plants sown on April 15, 2017 (Figure 4). In the present study, while the NDF content was decreased continuously as the sowing time delayed in 2017, it was decreased slightly in 2018, then increased and decreased again during the last sowing period. In addition, in the third sowing period, while NDF content was decreased by 8.62% in 2017, increased by 6.70% in 2018. For these reasons, year x sowing time interaction was significant. It can be said that considerable variations in plant heights, especially over the last three sowing periods (57.98% decrease in 2017, 3.52% decrease in 2018), may be causing this situation (Figure 1). In general, plants tend to reach to cutting maturity without showing a sufficient height and stem development with the increase of air temperature in late sowings. Therefore, stem/leaf ratio in late sowings may be lower than that of in early sowings. This led to the formation of less fibrous compounds in the late sowings and thus NDF ratios were found low. Because the NDF content, which consists of a combination of structural carbohydrates such as cellulose, hemicellulose and lignin, has an important relationship with the stem/leaf ratio in plants (Onal Ascı and Acar, 2018). As a matter of fact, the stem/leaf ratio increases as the plant height increases and this increase causes the NDF content to increase (Buxton, 1996).

Sowing time x harvesting time interaction was found to be statistically significant for NDF ratio at 5% significance level (Figure 4). In this study, the highest NDF content was obtained in the plots sown in the first period and harvested in the late period, while the lowest NDF content was obtained from the plots sown in the late period and harvested in the first period. In comparison to the previous sowing periods, NDF content was decreased by 4.87% and 2.87%, respectively, in the second and third harvest periods in the plants sown in the third period while it was increased by 4.82% in the first harvest. This may be a reason for the significance of sowing time x harvest time interaction. In general, plants sown early and harvested late have a longer vegetation period and benefit more from environmental factors such as water, light and nutrients. As a result, the stems are thicker and structural carbohydrate deposits such as cellulose and lignin will be higher in the cell walls (Kacar et al., 2006). Hence, Uke (2016) reported that the NDF content which forms the cell wall components in the quinoa plant increases depending on the harvest time.

Acid detergent fibre

The effect of year x sowing time interaction on acid detergent fibre (ADF) was found to be significant at 1% (Figure 5). The highest ADF content was determined in the first sowing in 2017 and the lowest rate was found in the fourth sowing in 2017. In 2017, ADF content was decreased continuously as the sowing time was delayed. However, in the first two sowing periods in 2018, the ADF ratio did not change, then increased slightly and later remained constant. In addition, ADF content was decreased by 13.66% in the third sowing period compared to the previous sowing period in 2017, but increased by 6.96% in 2018. This change in ADF content depending on the years and sowing times caused the interaction of year x sowing time to be significant. These changes in ADF content may be due to the differences in plant height according to years and sowing times. In this study, it was observed that plant height was decreased with the delay in sowing in 2017, whereas in 2018 there was no decrease in plant height (Figure 1). In general, height increase in plants causes an increase in stem/leaf ratio, which increases the amount of structural carbohydrates such as cellulose and lignin (ADF) (Buxton, 1996).
**Dry matter digestibility**

In terms of dry matter digestibility, year x sowing time interaction was significant ($P \leq 0.05$). The highest dry matter digestibility was determined at the fourth sowing time in 2017 and the lowest value was found at the first sowing time in 2017 (Figure 6). While the dry matter digestibility increased by 3.54% in 2017, it decreased by 1.50% in 2018 compared to the previous sowing period. This difference resulted in the significance of interaction of the year x sowing time. In general, plants can grow to cutting maturity without showing sufficient growth (vegetative growth) since they are exposed to low rainfall, high temperature and light conditions in late sowings. This leads to the formation of thinner stems, increased leaf / stem ratio and increased digestibility (Onal Acsi and Acar, 2018). Due to these reasons, dry matter digestibility may have increased in 2017 due to the increase in sowing time. However, the higher amount of precipitation in 2018, especially in May and June compared to the same months of 2017 (Table 1), may have caused the plants to grow in length and have thicker stems. This resulted in a reduced rate of dry matter digestibility with the delay in sowing time in 2018.

**Relative feed value**

In the study, the effect of triple interactions on relative feed value (RFV) showed a statistically significant difference at 5% significance level (Table 3). When Table 3 was examined; the highest relative feed value was obtained from the plots sown in the last week of March 2018 and harvested at the end of the vegetative period. The lowest value was obtained from the plots sown in mid-March 2017 and harvested at the last period. In general, the rate of increase in RFV was higher in 2017 than in 2018 as the sowing time was delayed and the harvest was taken early. In addition, in the second sowings, RFV increased by 11.26% and 2.22% in the first and third harvests of 2018, respectively, and decreased by 6.47% in the second harvest, in comparison to the first sowings. This resulted in significant interaction between year x sowing time x harvest time. RFV is a numerical measure of feed quality that is calculated using NDF and ADF values. If RFV is below 75, the feed is accepted as $5^{th}$ quality, while feed with 75-86 RFV score is considered as $4^{th}$ quality, 87-102 is $3^{rd}$ quality, 103-124 is $2^{nd}$ quality, 125-150 is $1^{st}$ quality and above 150 is considered the best quality (Rohweder et al., 1978). Therefore, it is desirable that these two values (NDF and ADF) be low for RFV to be high. In this study, NDF and ADF ratios of the plants that were sown at the end of March 2018 and harvested at the end of the vegetative period were found to be quite low compared to other sowing and harvesting periods.

According to the two-year average results, it was seen that yield and quality characteristics of quinoa grown for hay production were significantly affected by different sowing and harvesting periods. Accordingly, in order to obtain high hay yield and quality performance in quinoa, it was demonstrated that sowings should be done at the first opportunity in spring and harvestings should be done at a later period. In addition, it has been seen that when
cultivated during the appropriate sowing and harvesting periods, quinoa gives a considerably high yield and high-quality hay production and can be an important alternative feed source for closing the roughage gap.
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